



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/895,936		06/29/2001	Krzysztof S. Perycz	42390P11652 9376		
8791	7590	01/11/2006		EXAMINER		
		OFF TAYLOR &	TRUONG, LECHI			
SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2194		

DATE MAILED: 01/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/895,936	PERYCZ ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	LeChi Truong	2194	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the course the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 C 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, p		
Disposition of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-14,16-19 and 21-30 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-14,16-19 and 21-30 is/are rejected 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ction is required if the drawing(s) is c	see 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica prity documents have been recei	ation No ved in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) 🔲 Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail		

Art Unit: 2194

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1- 14, 16-19, 21-30 are presented for the examination. Claims 15, 20 are cancelled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 4-8, 12, 19, 21-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aoshima et al (5,210,859) in view of Young (US 6,560606 B1).

As to claim 1, Aoshima teaches the invention substantially as claimed including: requirement (the module name, col 2, ln 40-45/ ln 59-65/relationship, col 2, ln 22-26), requirements for a plurality of modules (relationship of each module, col 2, ln 22-27), a intermodule diagram tree (a module relation diagram is formed which indicates a structure of an overall program and a hierarchical tree, col 2, ln 22-31/col 8, ln 10-45/col 14, ln 52-56), receiving requirements for a plurality of modules (col 2, ln 33-35), determining an inter-module dependency tree, the inter-module dependency tree being based on the requirements(col 2, ln 22-26 and ln 33-36), a module function (module, col 2, ln 22-31/col 8, ln 10-45/col 14, ln 52-56), modifying a module function in accordance with the inter-module diagram tree (a case that reports on an execution history which have been stored in each branch of a module relation

diagram may referenced so as to be displayed in and execution order or an order opposite to the execution order (reverse execution order), col 13, ln 32-38/ a calling relationship of a function corresponding to the relation diagram, col 7, ln 62-65/the functions corresponding to the branches in the module reation diagram are executed a pluratily of times. An example of the module relation diagram in which a function "A" calls function B and E, and the function B futher calls functions C and D, col 12, ln 40-47/ the execution reports produces every time the respective functions are called are arranged in the calling order... in respective branches on the relation diagram, col 12, ln 56-65. The specific defines mofify configuration parameters for a module function by intiating module commands in a sequence based upon the inter-module dependency tree, col 12, ln 40-50/ ln 56-64/ col 7, ln 62-66/ col 2, ln 37-44).

Aoshima do not explicitly disclose the word that the diagram tree is a dependency tree. However, Young teaches dependency (dependencies between them, col 3, ln 45-48).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Aoshima and Young because Young' dependencies would improve the efficiency of Aoshima's system by tracking and enforcing the ordering of data processing by the processing modules.

As to claim 2, Aoshima teaches a configuration parameter (a, b and c are recognizes as arguments (parameters) of function Get_ANS which is associated with the relation tree, col 5, ln 65-68/col 6, ln 1-10/ col 2, ln 22-31/col 8, ln 10-45/col 14, ln 52-56), an inter-module X (the module relation diagram, col 2, ln 22-31/col 8, ln 10-45/col 14, ln 52-56).

As to claim 4, Aoshima teaches associating a module command with an inter-module dependency (col 8, ln 17-25).

Art Unit: 2194

As to claim 5, Aoshima teaches a phase for a command of a module (function name, col 6, ln 60-65).

As to claim 6, Aoshima teaches command script (col 5, ln 63-67).

As to claim 7, Aoshima teaches associating a command of a first module with a command of second module based upon an inter-module dependency (col 12, ln 40-50, col 7, ln 62-66/ col 2, ln 37-44).

As to claim 8, Asohima teaches a phase identification (function name, col 6, ln 56-68).

As to claim 12, it is an apparatus claim of claim 1; therefore, it is rejected for the same reason as claim 1 above. In additional, Young teaches the invention as claimed including: a system controller (pipeline controller 210, Fig 1A, 2, col 9, ln 51-60/Repository service 160, Fig. 1a), a configuration manager (configuration manager 150, Fig. 1).

As to claim 19, it is an apparatus claim of claim 12; therefore, it is rejected for the same reason as claim 12 above. Further, Young teaches a network component (telephone service server, col 4, ln 65-67), a station (system processing 100, Fig. 1A, col 4, ln 46-47).

As to claim 21, Young teaches a permanent configuration database (Stage configuration files 418, Fig. 4/col 9, ln 51-63; persistent memory, col 10, ln 52-56), a command line interface (operator instruction, col 10, ln 52-56), the current configuration database containing one or more configurations for the plurality of modules that are not retained when the apparatus is initialized (col 12, ln 64-67 to col 13, ln 1-5).

As to claim 23, Young teaches a management workstation (configuration manage 150, Fig. 1 A).

As to claims 24-27, they are apparatus claims of claims 1, 4, 6, 7; therefore, they are rejected for the same reasons as claims 1, 4, 6, 7 above.

3. Claims 3, 9-11, 13, 14, 16-18, 28 -30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aoshima et al (5,210,859) in view of Young (US. Patent 6,560,606 B1), as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Admitted Prior Art (APA).

As to claim 3, Aoshima and Young do not teach storing a default value for a configuration parameter. However, APA teaches storing a default value for a configuration parameter (the parameter may be preserved in some form of non-volatile storage, page 1, ln 18-21).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Aoshima, Young and APA because APA's the parameter may be preserved in some form of non-volatile storage would improve the efficiency of Aoshima and APA's systems by initializing modules during device start-up.

As to claim 9, Young teaches initializing a module using the inter module dependency tree (col 14, ln 46-50).

As to claims 10, 11, APA teaches initializing a module function/ reconfiguring a module function/ shutting down a module function (page 1, ln 25-27).

As to claim 13, Young teaches a current configuration database (the configuration storage 508, col 13, ln 15-22/ Fig. 5), the current configuration database containing one or more

Application/Control Number: 09/895,936

Art Unit: 2194

configurations for the plurality of modules that are not retained when the apparatus is initialized (col 12, ln 64-67 to col 13, ln 1-5).

As to claim 14, it is an apparatus claim of claim 13; therefore, it is rejected for the same reason as claim 13 above. In additional, Young teaches a permanent configuration database (stage configuration files 418, col 9, ln 51-63/ persistent memory, col 10, ln 52-56), a command line interface (operator instruction, col 10, ln 52-56).

As to claim 16, Aohima teaches an inter-module dependency tree (a module relation diagram is formed which indicates a structure of an overall program and a hierarchical tree, col 2, ln 22-31/col 8, ln 10-45/col 14, ln 52-56), circuitry (the tree table, col 6, ln 56-60).

As to claim 17, Young teaches a configuration parameter change request (col 10, ln 35-42).

As to claim 18, Young teaches modifying a module function in accordance with a configuration parameter change request (col 10, ln 45-51).

As to claims 28-30, they are apparatus claims of claims 9, 10, 11; therefore, they are rejected for the same reasons as claims 9, 10, 11 above.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LeChi Truong whose telephone number is (571) 272 3767. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomson, William can be reached on (571) 272 3718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/895,936

Art Unit: 2194

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR of Public PAIP. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIP system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197(toll-free).

LeChi Truong

January 4, 2006

WILLIAM THOMSON AMINET.
WILLIAM PATENT EXAMINET.